

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

CHARLES JAMES WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

v.

LUKE LARSON, *et al.*,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:25-cv-00599-RSL-GJL

**AMENDED ORDER ON REPORT
AND RECOMMENDATION**


On July 15, 2025, this Court entered an order (Dkt. # 6) adopting the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of Magistrate Judge Grady J. Leupold (Dkt. # 5). At that time, the Court was unaware that plaintiff had mailed objections to Magistrate Judge Leupold’s R&R that were received at this courthouse one day earlier, on July 14, 2025. Dkt. # 7. Plaintiff’s objections were subsequently entered into the docket for this case on July 16, 2025, one day after entry of this Court’s order adopting Magistrate Judge Leupold’s R&R, at which point this Court became aware of plaintiff’s objections. *See* Dkts. # 6, 7. Plaintiff’s objections to the R&R were timely filed. *See* Dkt. # 5 at 2:8–15. *See also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2), 6(d), 6(a)(1)(C), 5(b)(2)(C). Therefore, the Court will consider plaintiff’s objections. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

1 Plaintiff is proceeding pro se, and documents filed pro se are to be liberally
2 construed and held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers.
3 *Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007). In addition, pleadings “must be construed so
4 as to do justice.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(e). This Court therefore construes plaintiff’s objections
5 (Dkt. # 7) as a request for more time to file an amended complaint. *See* Dkt. # 7. *See also*
6 Dkt. # 4 (Magistrate Judge Leupold ordering plaintiff to submit an amended complaint to
7 cure deficiencies by May 27, 2025 or Judge Leupold would recommend dismissal of the
8 case). Given the reasons plaintiff has provided for his failure to amend his complaint by
9 Magistrate Judge Leupold’s deadline of May 27, 2025 (Dkt. # 7), the Court in its
10 discretion GRANTS plaintiff until August 18, 2025 to file an amended complaint,
11 DECLINES to adopt Magistrate Judge Leupold’s R&R (Dkt. # 5), and STRIKES the
12 Court’s previous order (Dkt. # 6).

14 This matter is re-referred to Magistrate Judge Leupold for consideration of
15 plaintiff’s amended complaint if one is filed by August 18, 2025, and in any event for
16 submission of a new Report and Recommendation to this Court at a time after August 18,
17 2025.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 **DATED** this 18th day of July, 2025.

22
23 
24 Robert S. Lasnik
United States District Judge